# HETEROCYCLIC ANALOGS OF PLEIADIENE. 72.\* SULFONES OF PERIMIDINE

## AND 2,3-DIHYDROPERIMIDINE.

### SYNTHESIS AND SOME PROPERTIES

# I. V. Borovlev<sup>1</sup>, A. F. Pozharskii<sup>2</sup>, and E. A. Filatova<sup>2</sup>

The arenesulfonation of perimidines has been carried out in polyphosphoric acid and found to occur at the 6(7) and 4(9) positions. Reduction then gave the first representatives of 2,3-dihydroperimidine sulfones. We report a comparative <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the arenesulfonyl- and acylperimidines together with their annular prototropic tautomerism.

Keywords: perimidine, sulfones, annular prototropy.

The synthesis of the previously unknown perimidine series sulfones has been undertaken in connection with the recently reported slow annular prototropy of 6(7)-formyl- and 6(7)-acetyl-2-trifluoromethylperimidines on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR time scale [2]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of these compounds in non-polar solvents at room temperature (and even upon heating) show signals for both NH-tautomers, while in polar solvents only averaged signals are present pointing to a rapid rate of their interconversion. In our view this hindrance to tautomerism is due to a combined electron-acceptor effect of the 2-CF<sub>3</sub> group and of the carbonyl which strongly reduces the basicity of the pyridine type nitrogen atom. We propose that, for 6(7)-sulfonyl derivatives of 2-trifluoromethylperimidine (and possibly perimidine itself) the slowing down of this prototropy should be even more marked since the electron acceptor effect of the 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> group ( $\sigma_p = 0.67$ ) is much higher than the CHO group ( $\sigma_p = 0.22$ ).

Because perimidines readily participate in an electrophilic substitution reaction [3], for the synthesis of the sulfones we chose the method of direct arenesulfonation in polyphosphoric acid (PPA) [4]. By contrast with acylation [5, 6], the reactions of perimidine (1a) and 2-trifluoromethylperimidine (1b) with arenesulfonic acids or their salts in PPA occur under more rigid conditions and are initiated above 120°C. We have carried this out with a 1.5 molar excess of the sulfonic acid at 140-145°C over 1 h. The reaction results in a mixture of the corresponding 4(9)- and 6(7)-arenesulfonyl perimidines 2 and 3 respectively with the latter predominating. At higher temperatures the reaction is accompanied by tarring and this is becomes complete at 200-210°C.

\* For Communication 71 see [1].

1084

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Stavropol State University, Stavropol 355009, Russia; e-mail: nauka@stavsu.ru. <sup>2</sup> Rostov State University, Rostov-on-Don, Russia; e-mail: pozharsk@pozhar.rnd.runnet.ru. Translated from Khimiya Geterotsiklicheskikh Soedinenii, No. 9, pp. 1240-1246, September, 2002. Original article submitted January 18, 2001.



**1** a R = H, b  $R = CF_3$ , **2**, **3** a R = H, Ar = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, b R = H, Ar = 2-naphthyl, c  $R = CF_3$ , Ar = 4-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

The separation of the sulfones 2 and 3 was based on their different chromatographic mobilities. The 4(9)-isomers, like the 4(9)-acylperimidines, are more mobile thanks to the intramolecular hydrogen bond and, in low-polarity solvents, exist virtually as the 9- tautomer. This is indicated, for example, in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 2a where the strongly broadened NH proton signal in CDCl<sub>3</sub> is found at low field ( $\delta = 10.3$  ppm) (Table 1). The absence of a rapid tautomerism is also indicated by the sharp (narrow) signals for the aromatic protons. Since, however, sulfones form weaker hydrogen bonds when compared with sulfoxides [7], the spectra of compound 2 in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> might be expected to show an averaging of signals due to rapid tautomeric exchange on the NMR time scale:



In fact, such an averaging is seen only as a slight broadening of the signal for the proton in position 4(9) and this is possibly connected with a significant shift of the equilibrium to the side of the 9-tautomer, even in the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding.

In the short communication [8] we have already mentioned that, in non-polar solvents (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CDCl<sub>2</sub>CDCl<sub>2</sub>), the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of sulfone **3c** is seen as a well resolved system of signals for two tautomers. As in the case of the 6(7)-formyl(acetyl)-analogs [2], the more basic 7-tautomer predominates (64:36 in CDCl<sub>2</sub>CDCl<sub>2</sub>) and this pattern is virtually unchanged upon heating the solution to  $120^{\circ}$ C. When a drop of water is added or upon solution in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> coalescence is seen, even at room temperature.



By contrast, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the sulfones **3a,b** point to a relatively rapid prototropic tautomerism, both in the polar DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and in the low polarity CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The most sensitive in similar examples are the protons in positions 4 and 9 and these appear as strongly broadened doublets. Thus the influence of a

Com	Solvent	Chemical shifts $\delta$ , ppm, J (Hz)									
pound		2-H	4-H	9-H	5-H	8-H	6-H	7-H	NH, br. s	Ar	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
2a	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	7.5 (s)	7.2 (dd, $J = 8.2$ )	_	7.5 (dd, J = 8.2, J = 7.2)	7.3 (m)	7.1 (dd, <i>J</i> = 7.2)	7.0 (d, <i>J</i> = 9.3)	10.3	2.4 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.3 (m, 3'- and 5'-H); 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)	
3a	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	7.4 (s)	6.5 (br. d, J = 8.3)	6.7 (br. d, J = 7.7)	8.2 (d, $J = 8.3$ )	7.3 (dd, J = 8.8, J = 7.7)	_	7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.8)	—	2.4 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.2 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 3'- and 5'-H); 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)	
	Me <sub>2</sub> CO-d <sub>6</sub>	7.6 (s)	6.6 (br. s)	6.8 (br. s)	8.2 (d, $J = 8.3$ )	7.3-7.4 (m)	—	7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.8)	_	2.4 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.3-7.4 (m, 3'- and 5'-H); 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.8, 2'- and 6'-H)	
2b	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	7.7 (s)	6.7 (br. s)		7.4 (dd, J = 8.3, J = 7.7)	7.7 (m)	7.2 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3)	7.2 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.2)	_	7.7 (m, 6'-H); 7.9-8.1 (m, 3'- 4'-,7'-,8'-H); 8.1 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7, 5'-H); 8.7 (s, 1'-H)	
3b	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	7.6 (s)	6.6 (br. d, J = 8.3)	6.7 (br. d, J = 7.2)	8.2 (d, $J = 8.3$ )	7.3 (dd, J = 7.2, J = 8.3)		7.6-7.8 (m)	11.3	7.6-7.8 (m, 3-',6-',7'-H); 8.0 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.8, 4'-H); 8.2 (br. d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 5'-H); 8.7 (s, 1'-H)	
2c	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>		6.8 (br. d, J = 7.7)		7.4 (dd, J = 7.7, J = 7.7)	7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3)	7.3 (m)	7.3 (m)	12.3	2.4 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.3 (m, 3'- and 5'-H); 7.9 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)	

TABLE 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectra of Compounds **2-5** 

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
3c	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>		6.8 (d, J = 8.3)	6.9 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	8.1 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3)	7.4 (dd, J = 7.7, J = 8.8)	_	7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.8)	12.2	2.3 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 7.4 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 3-',5'-H); 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6-'H)
3c (7-tau- tomer)	CDCl <sub>2</sub> CDCl <sub>2</sub>	_	7.1 (dd, $J = 7.5$ )	6.4 (d, J = 8.1)	7.4 (dd, J = 7.5, J = 8.7)	8.1 (d, J = 8.1)	7.9 (dd, <i>J</i> = 8.7)		8.5	2.3 s (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.2 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1, 3'-,5'-H), 7.7 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1, 2'- and 6'-H)
3c (6-tau- tomer)	CDCl <sub>2</sub> CDCl <sub>2</sub>	_	7.0 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1)	6.5 (dd, $J = 7.3$ )	8.2 (d, $J = 8.0$ )	7.3 (dd, J = 7.3, J = 8.9)		7.8 (dd, J = 8.9)	8.4	2.3 s (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.2 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1, 3'-,5'-H), 7.7 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1, 2'- and 6'-H)
4	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	4.6 (br. s)	_	6.6 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	7.6 $(d, J = 9.4)$	7.3 (dd, J = 7.7, J = 7.7)	7.1 (d, <i>J</i> = 9.4)	7.1 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	7.5, 7.8	2.4 s (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.3 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 3'-,5'-H), 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)
	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	4.5 (dd, J = 2.2, J = 1.7)	—	6.6 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	7.3 (dd, J = 7.7, J = 8.3)	7.5 $(d, J = 8.8)$	7.0 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3)	7.0 (d. <i>J</i> = 8.8)	6.7, 7.4	2.3 s (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.4 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 3'-,5'-H), 7.8 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)
5	DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	4.4 (dd, J = 2.0, J = 2.0)	6.5 (d, $J = 8.3$ )	6.5 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	8.1 (d, J = 8.3)	7.2 (dd, J = 7.7, J = 8.3)		7.5 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3)	6.8, 7.6	2.3 s (CH <sub>3</sub> ), 7.3 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3 (3'-,5'-H), 7.7 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.3, 2'- and 6'-H)

single acceptor substituent in the 6(7) position or the 2 position of the perimidine is insufficient for the existence of slow annular prototropy on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR time scale.

A characteristic feature of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 6(7)-arenesulfonylperimidines is the fact that the lowest field signals prove not to be the *peri* protons, as in the case of 6(7)-acylperimidines [5, 6], but the protons found *ortho* to the ArSO<sub>2</sub> group. In our view this is explained by the difference in the steric structure of the sulfonyl and carbonyl group. A decrease in the C–S–O angle when compared with the carbonyl C–C–O angle leads to a more efficient transfer of the anisotropic effect of the S=O bond through a five and not six-membered ring. Evidence of a significant contribution of the SO bond anisotropy to the low-field shift of neighboring aromatic protons was found when comparing the spectra of the aryl groups), whose shift to low field is significantly less (by ~ 0.5 ppm), is the proton at position 8 of the sulfone **2a** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. This result is a consequence of the conformational rigidity of the SO<sub>2</sub> group due to the intramolecular hydrogen bond and hence it does not lie within the cone of anisotropy of the S=O bond. When changing to the polar DMSO, this effect disappears.

In the IR spectra of the sulfones 2 and 3 the stretching vibrations of the SO<sub>2</sub> group appear at 1315-1305 and 1090-1105 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Attempts carry out an intramolecular Smiles rearrangement [9] by refluxing to 4(9)-p-toluenesulfonylperimidine (2a) in aqueous alcoholic base were unsuccessful. Evidently, this is a result both of the low nucleophilicity of the N-anion and the insufficient electrophilicity of the aryl radical. We were not able to prepare the alkylperimidinesulfones by treating perimidine with benzyl- or ethanesulfonic acid (used as their sodium salts) in PPA medium since it resulted in decomposition of the sulfonic acid with the evolution of SO<sub>2</sub>. Treatment of the perimidine under the same conditions with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid gave only oligomeric, red colored crystals.

As was the case for the acylperimidines [10], the action of sodium borohydride in glacial acetic acid on compounds **2a** and **3a** caused reduction of the heterocyclic C=N bond to give the 4- and 6-*p*-toluenesulfonyl-2,3-dihydroperimidines **4** and **5** respectively.



In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of sulfones **4** and **5** in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> (Table 1), the chemical exchange of the NH protons is slowed so much that a spin-spin interaction is observed between the protons of the CH<sub>2</sub> groups and the two NH protons (J = 1.7-2.0 Hz). By contrast with the starting **2a**, the intramolecular hydrogen bond in compound **4** is relatively weak ( $\delta_{\text{NH}} = 7.5$  and 7.8 ppm in CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WP-200 (200 MHz) instrument with TMS as internal standard. Signal assignments were made using a double resonance method. IR Spectra were taken on a UR-20 instrument. Monitoring of the course of the reaction and the purity of the compounds synthesized was carried out using Silufol UV-254 plates and column chromatography was performed on Chemapol L 40/100 grade silica gel.

General Method for the Arenesulfonylation of Perimidines. A mixture of perimidine or 2-trifluoromethylperimidine (4 mmol), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate or the sodium salt of  $\beta$ -naphthalenesulfonic acid (6 mmol), and PPA (8-10 g) (containing 82% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) was heated to 140-145°C and held at this temperature for 1 h with vigorous stirring. After cooling to 80-85°C, the reaction mixture was poured as a thin stream into vigorously stirred cold water (100 ml), basified with ammonia to pH ~ 8 (in the case of compounds 2c and 3c to pH ~ 4 to 5), and the precipitate was filtered off, washed with water, and dried. The dry product was treated with ethyl acetate (15 ml) (benzene in the preparation of compounds 2c and 3c) and, together with the precipitate, were transferred to a chromatography column with silica gel (~ 100 g). Elution of compounds 2a,b was carried out with ethyl acetate and 2c with benzene (the first fractions being pale yellow in color). The second fractions were bright yellow in color and were eluted with a mixture of ethyl acetate and ethanol (10:1) (compounds 3a,b) or benzene and ethyl acetate (compound 3c). Evaporation of solvent gave the corresponding sulfone.

**4(9)**-*p*-Toluenesulfonylperimidine (2a). Yield 0.2 g (15%). Pale yellow crystals; mp 187-188°C (benzene–petroleum ether). IR spectrum (vaseline oil), v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1095, 1305 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 3240 (NH). Found, %: C 66.97; H 4.44; N 8.53.  $C_{18}H_{14}N_2O_2S$ . Calculated, %: C 67.06; H 4.38; N 8.69.

**6(7)**-*p*-Toluenesulfonylperimidine (3a). Yield 0.54 g (42%). Yellow green crystals; mp 220-222°C (benzene). IR spectrum (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1100, 1310 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 3420 (NH). Found, %: C 67.21; H 4.23; N 8.59.  $C_{18}H_{14}N_2O_2S$ . Calculated, %: C 67.06, H 4.38; N 8.69.

4(9)-β-Naphthalenesulfonylperimidine (β-Naphthyl-4(9)-perimidinylsulfone) (2b). Yield 0.04 g (3%). Pale yellow crystals; mp 103-105°C (benzene–petroleum ether). Found, %: C 70.60; H 4.07; N 8.01.  $C_{21}H_{14}N_2O_2S$ . Calculated, %: C 70.37; H 3.94; N 7.82.

6(7)-β-Naphthalenesulfonylperimidine (β-Naphthyl-6(7)-perimidinylsulfone) (3b). Yield 0.6 g (42%). Yellow green crystals; mp 143-144°C (benzene–alcohol). Found, %: C 70.55; H 4.01; N 7.88.  $C_{21}H_{14}N_2O_2S$ . Calculated, %: C 70.37; H 3.94; N 7.82.

**4(9)-p-Toluenesulfonyl-2-trifluoromethylperimidine (2c).** Yield 0.22 g (14%). Yellow green crystals; mp 253-254°C (volatilized from benzene with petroleum ether). Found, %: C 58.56; H 3.07; N 7.28.  $C_{19}H_{13}F_{3}N_{2}O_{2}S$ . Calculated, %: 58.46; H 3.36; N 7.18.

**6(7)**-*p*-Toluenesulfonyl-2-trifluoromethylperimidine (3c). Yield 0.69 g (44%). Yellow green crystals; mp 259-260°C (benzene). IR spectrum (vaseline oil), v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1105, 1315 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 3200-3300 (NH). Found, %: C 58.64; H 3.30; N 7.22.  $C_{19}H_{13}F_{3}N_{2}O_{2}S$ . Calculated, %: C 58.46; H 3.36; N 7.18.

**General reduction method.** Sodium borohydride (1.14 g, 30 mmol) was added portionwise over 30 min with vigorous stirring to a solution of the sulfone **2a** or **3a** (1.61 g, 5 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (10 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for a further 30 min, poured into cold water (50 ml), basified with a solution of ammonia to  $pH \sim 8$ , and the precipitate was filtered off, washed with water, and dried.

**4-***p***-Toluenesulfonyl-2,3-dihydroperimidine (4).** Yield 1.13 g (70%). Cream crystals; mp 78-80°C (aqueous alcohol). Found, %: C 66.58; H 5.05; N 8.49. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S. Calculated, %: C 66.65; H 4.97; N 8.64.

**6-p-Toluenesulfonyl-2,3-dihydroperimidine (5).** Yield 1.47 g (91%). Yellow green crystals; mp 209-210°C (benzene–alcohol). Found, %: C 66.77; H 4.98; N 8.70.  $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_2S$ . Calculated, %: C 66.65; H 4.97; N 8.64.

### REFERENCES

- 1. I. V. Borovlev, O. P. Demidov, and A. F. Pozharskii, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 1109 (2002).
- 2. A. F. Pozharskii, E. A. Filatova, N. V. Vistorobskii, and I. V. Borovlev, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 365 (1999).
- 3. A. F. Pozharskii and V. V. Dal'nikovskaya, *Usp. Khim.*, **50**, 1559 (1981).

- 4. B. M. Graybill, J. Org. Chem., 32, 2931 (1967).
- 5. A. F. Pozharskii, I. V. Borovlev, and I. S. Kashparov, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 543 (1975).
- 6. I. V. Borovlev and A. F. Pozharskii, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 1688 (1975).
- 7. S. Patai, L Rappoport, and C. J. M. Stirling, *The Chemistry of Sulfoxides and Sulfones*, J. Wiley, New York, London (1988), p. 541.
- 8. E. A. Filatova, I. V. Borovlev, A. F. Pozharskii, Z. A. Starikova, and N. V. Vistorobskii, *Mendeleev Commun.*, 178 (2000).
- 9. J. March, Organic Chemistry [Russian translation], Vol. 3, Mir, Moscow (1987), p. 44.
- 10. I. V. Borovlev, A. V. Aksenov, and T. E. Zubova, *Journal of Stavropol State University*, Stavropol, No. 19, 95 (1999).